SEAP Meeting J.R. Williams Building - Boise September 21, 2010

Minutes

Panel	All Panel Meeting	Date	September 21, 2010
Chair	Jodi Schilling	Recorder	Kim Reader

Vo	Voting Members – (present at meeting X, absent at meeting left blank)						
	Bruce Christopherson X Casey Moyer Glenda Rohrbach X Dennis Toney						Dennis Toney
X	Tom Falash	X	Sarah Noble	X	Jodi Schilling		
X	X Amanda Holloway X Judy Randleman Karen Seay						

N	Non-Voting Members – (present at meeting X, absent at meeting left blank) Guests – (presenter at meeting P)							
X Jacque Hyatt X Matt Hyde X Kim Reader								
F	Melanie Reese	X	Marybeth Flachbart					

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
Welcome –	Jodi welcomes everyone to the meeting and introduces new	
Jodi Schilling	members;	
	Matt Hyde explains his role at the SDE and his expectations	
	of SEAP.	
	Dennis Toney says transition to higher education is priority	
	for him.	
	Sarah Noble, parent of Down's syndrome daughter.	
	Jodi Schilling, parent of children with disabilities; autism &	
	social & emotional disabilities & general ed teacher,	
	explains her expectations of the committee.	
	Casey Moyer is involved in children's mental health	
	programs, is also curious about directions SEAP will take.	
	Tom Falash is from Adult Corrections, has 2 boys with	
	special needs, and wants to learn more about the system.	
	Amanda Holloway has 2 children with special needs,	
	Council on Developmental Disabilities, and wants to learn	
	how she can help SEAP.	
	Judy Randleman wants to see how resources will be	
	stretched to meet the needs of special ed kids	
	As a parent/grandparent of a special needs child, Marybeth	
	Flachbart explains SASI; Title 1, Spec Ed, Migrant, Early	
	Childhood are all under our hood. SDE values SEAP and she	
	is happy to see everyone. Wants more connection between	
	special ed and general ed. She wants to make sure that	
	special ed student's needs are being met. She explains her	
	background in education, with dyslexia being a specialty.	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	Her youngest child has struggled with mental health issues.	
	Marybeth explains some of the challenges of having a child	
Minutes from	with disabilities. Approved	
April, 2010	Approved	
Chair Report –	Very productive meeting in June – Joan MacMillan helped	
Jodi Schilling	put together goal connections. She went to DC for OSEP. It	
_	was an amazing experience for her; it was both inspiring and	
	patriotic feeling. Some key ideas she came away with were:	
	1.) The idea of presumed confidence of the kids being by	
	creative 2.) Up and coming programs that will be beneficial are	
	in place for mental health, but they still have a	
	disconnect with general ed	
	3.) The idea that they can connect a universal design for	
	learning to incorporate different learning styles into	
	each child's curriculum	
SDE Initiatives	Jodi feels that this is a comprehensive and helpful focus.	Marrybath
and Vision –	Matt explains what Marybeth will talk about; how initiatives are benefitting and affecting students in classrooms, updates,	Marybeth wants to ask
Marybeth	busy summer starting with primary stakeholders,	consultants to
Flachbart	reorganizing and shuffling with the 17% budget decrease,	help us, see
	short on people, the structure of special education,	where we
	reorganizing the offices.	need help to
	M. I. d. Wil I. i. d	prepare. What
	Marybeth: When administrators go back to school after a nice break, there is some confusion about who is boss. What	are priorities?
	is our mission, vision, and focus? Special education is	
	actually the only Federal civil right; Title One is second.	
	Many compliance issues surface because there is not much	
	accountability about being effective educators.	
	We had outside consultants and inside staff grade the	
	districts; the consensus was that we are good on compliance,	
	but not so good on customer service. There is not enough time or staff to dedicate to the field of special education. We	
	need well run programs, more teachers. Marybeth explains	
	graph; it shows that we do not change programs unless it will	
	have an impact in the classroom. It shows that the teacher is	
	more influential than anything else is; learning is all about	
	teacher/student relationship effectiveness. Good instruction	
	is good instruction, that's all there is to it. We need to bring it to every classroom and every student. We need the support	
	of other colleagues, and their collective wisdom, the support	
	of good administrators. If we have good teachers, but a weak	
	system, we will lose the teachers and the weak system wins	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	every time. Three years is the turnover rate for	
	administrative principals – for teachers, the turnover rate is	
	seven years.	
	we started a superintendent network, it is voluntary, but has a huge impact on the instructional core. The idea is to talk about missions, problems, visions, and resources. Marybeth explains that in the master contracts of teachers, their needs are not covered. Four percent of the overall budget is in federal funding, so we need to make an impact on the Legislature of the importance of those needs. Superintendent Luna and Lucy Willits did a good job last year. The Legislature has influence, so we need trustees that have a better understanding of what we need. Don't know how to hire a superintendent? Problem solve? Finance? Theory of what education should look like? Competency? Needs? Community outreachhow do we reach the community? Our focus should be all about priorities. A vast majority of students go to public schools; our emphasis should be at the district level, high educational content, and curriculum. I would like to see SEAP make connections on other things so that we can leverage our resources. Idaho Building Capacity assigned people at the District level to special education; Bonnie Gallant, Kathy Buswell, Elaine Keough. They are looking at trends of dispute complaintsIf there is more than one complaint in a particular District, a Capacity Builder will go in at the District level. If there is over-reporting, for instance, of	
	Hispanic special ed students, something is wrong at the District level.	
	She will be talking to Lester about funding maybe being set aside as a 'catastrophic fund' for special things that may come up. We want improved outcomes for all students.	
	There are alot of students in alternate educational settings. We want to see those students be successful, even as adults. Of post-secondary students, only about 17 % finish college. Are we helping students prepare for post secondary education? We need scaffolding built to help these students be successful and self motivated. An average high school student does less than one hour of homework per week. How do we make sure they are ready for post secondary education? There has been an increase in homeless students, 400% in the last year, no telling how many are living	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	elsewhere, for instance, with relatives. How can we be advocates for these students?	
	We have some new people at the SDE; Melanie Reese, Shannon Dunstan, Becky Martin, and John Carter. Marybeth explains the roles of increased staff statewide. Carol Carnahan and Gina Hopper are here to help standardize the information across the state. The emphasis is on clarity of information, there will be a newsletter going out to all the directors. Any input is welcome. SEAP can have access to this newsletter if they want to.	
	Matt comments that he appreciates the Capacity Builders, they are a unique group of people, about 50 count across the state. He thinks they will be significant in improving communication by looking at education as a whole, not in sections. His time is split 50/50 between Title One and Special Education. His training is incorporated between language and RTI.	
	Judy communicated that she had attended the Title I Committee of Practitioners with Matt Hyde.	
	Any questions for Marybeth?	
	Jodi asks about losing teachers because of no support. Systemically, the principals should come into their classroomit really helps to have the backup and see the effort. Marybeth watched Caldwellthree years ago, no schools were making adequate progress, and now nine out of ten do. Superintendent recruitment and retaining the best teachers makes a difference. She followed one ELL student for one day and saw how much instructional time was wasted, then followed a special ed student at a different school, so she could understand the boredom of the students. Idaho <i>does</i> care, the SDE tries to make connections and build relationships with students to understand them, observe classroom service delivery, ask what they would like the department to do to help. The Capacity Builders tease Marybeth about the positive 'pressure' that she relentlessly applies.	
	Sarah is excited about collaborated communication, working as a whole group, instead of separate groups, get on the same page.	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
Subject	Marybeth doesn't see special ed as separate, but families <i>do</i> feel disconnected. It is hard to get people to collaborate, but it is needed. Ask cheerfully, then apply pressure, because it is important to communicate and collaborate. It gets frustrating for parents; they just want someone to listen. She points out that, Sarah, as a parent might feel like no one cares about her 'one' child. Getting a pep talk from a counselor, telling her that she is a good parent and her child is very important, would help. Matt says there is some hesitancy from some people. Effort is being made and movement towards that goal is progressing. There are materials at the back table to look at on break. Schools that had been skeptical are appreciative of	ronow-up
	Marybeth explains focus visits. The Districts hesitate but she tells them there is no choice. There are too many disputes, too many parents calling. It is very challenging; schools don't really want to do itthey come up with all kinds of excuses. We interview about 60% of the staff. There are focus groups with students above the fourth grade, parents, instructional and non-instructional staff, superintendents, janitors ("they <i>really</i> know what's going on"). 18 of sped staff went to Teton School District, 40 went to Mountain Home District. The problems overwhelm them; one school had eight different administrators in nine years. Something's wrong. Is it the protocols for hiring? I see six or so things that stick out. We help them focus and identify problems, give them a few weeks, then call back to see their plan. Where do they start? Students ask interesting questions. Marybeth invites SEAP members to help chose who to	
	interview. Matt thinks that it is up to the schools to decide. Sarah thinks there should be one-on-one interviews. Matt – there is no list of questions for the focus group, some folks just wanted to ask about lunch, the questions should be more like; "what does your teacher do that lets you believe you can learn?" He would like to see these types of questions instead of general questions like "do you like school?" Sarah was skeptical about the choice of schools. Marybeth says they watch for discrepancies of representation. How open are the schools? The focus groups can tell if the schools are ready to let them talk to anybody. In a perfect world, we could choose random students but we usually can eventually get to the truth. Students will usually	

Page **6** of **29**

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
-	let it out about any favoritism perceived, such as if certain	
	students are picked on or having to be corrected a lot.	
Break		
Dispute	Matt introduces Melanie. They laugh about	
Resolution	acronymslearning them, since they are both new. There	
Report –	are quite a few.	
Melanie Reese	Melanie explains her role and talks about missing Paul. He	
	will be around though so we will see him.	
	What is the mission we are trying to accomplish, what are	
	our values? She explains her thought process on what SDE	
	does. She talks about values, what she believes.	
	Conflict is good; it provides opportunities to look at	
	programs more fully. Conflict gives us a chance to be active,	
	to see if we have good processes; that we provide the	
	perception of fairness, neutrality, and honesty. We have to	
	show no bias to be effective. Melanie wants to know if there	
	is any feeling of not being this way.	
	She believes that fostering ownership over decisions is	
	important. Good outcome is what we strive forwhat is in	
	the best interest of the child.	
	Melanie introduces Cassandra (her administrative assistant)	
	and tells of the many hats that they wear. They are a	
	sounding board, a listener and through phone calls, they get	
	the story. They are 'validators'-people have valid concerns	
	and feelings. Whether or not we agree, they need to feel that	
	we hear them.	
	Thinking of the detective, Colombo, we think of him asking	
	'dumb' questions, but what he does, is validate the talker.	
	The third party has to use their skills to remain neutral. They	
	can ask obvious questions, and make observations to get to	
	the point of the conflict.	
	We are 'coaches' – sometimes people don't want	
	intervention, we can give them conversations to take back to	
	the school to open the door of communication. We can	
	provide the language they may need, some questions to ask,	
	which direction to go.	
	All of the questions we get are not reflected in our numbers,	
	we receive 10 -15 calls a week. We are a 'customer service',	
	a' resource expander' – not sure yet what all this entails but	
	she has an amazing team that helps her.	
	She is a 'traffic cop' – she brings people closer together or	
	slows them down. She deflects crashes in communication.	
	She is a 'reality tester' – she talks about the consequences of	
	actions. Let's see if that is the only option for resolution of	
	the problem.	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
-	She talks about "PLOP" (Present Level of Performance) and	-
	IDEA (Individuals with Disabilities Education Act) which	
	gives us boundaries within which to work.	
	There are three processes of federal law if there is a dispute:	
	Mediation	
	Complaint process	
	Due process hearing	
	Facilitation is a major part of Melanie's caseload but those	
	numbers are not reported to the Feds.	
	Marybeth adds that our mission is <i>effectiveness</i> , but we are	
	tested on compliance.	
	Here are some examples of the caseload:	
	*April to June - three hearings - all dismissed - not sent to	
	federal court	
	*July to September – three cases filed- they are in process –	
	one is in resolution now, they are going to hearing	
	*April to June - four compliance issues filed	
	*No complaints filed yet this fall. One case was opened - the	
	parents are not ready to mediate with the District, but	
	they didn't want to close case	
	Lakeland – one request – denied - both parties need to agree	
	Wendell & Oneida – each one – withdrawn	
	*Facilitation cases - 27 since April	
	two denied, one by school, one by parent, two	
	withdrawn	
	Jodi shares her experience in mediation – she says it was a	
	positive experience for them. They felt like they were heard.	
	There was a low escalation of conflict and tension compared	
	to their prior experience with school.	
	Casey asks about why certain districts have higher numbers	
	than others. Are they being over-represented?	
	Melanie admits that sometimes the numbers are padded. The	
	districts have too much time on their hands. We have to look	
	for patterns so we look at the system first. We will send	
	Capacity Builders in to the district if there seems to be a	
	problem. We also talk with superintendents and principals and consider the size of the district.	
	Marybeth explains the role of Capacity Builders. Their role	
	is to <i>coach</i> , not to make any decisions on complaints.	
	She sees an interesting trend. Districts back away from	
	calling in a facilitator, but we are doing quite a few	
	facilitations. We are busy. Her vision is to have good	
	communication. She feels good about the facilitors we have	
	out in the districts that are willing to do this. She wants to	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	continue this service. We cannot talk to the administrator of the school, so we need a third party. Melanie continues with resolution meetings. She would like to have a facilitator to move along these meetings. We should take a leadership role to provide communication trainings.	
	Sarah adds that 'Title One Nights' are being done in some schools. They make it a Math thing and a meeting thing combined; they try to incorporate dispute resolution into those nights. Matt has received requests for a checklist of what Title One needshow to meet compliance at a minimum level. Matt feels that we should use the minimum for our foundation, he wants more. Parent involvement needs to be more meaningful.	
	Dennis explains his experience when he was involved in mediation- the former administrator and current administrator were 'buddies' and biased in their opinion. Melanie says that she keeps the perception of fairness. Who can mediate or facilitate? Melanie explains her qualifications for both sides. She thinks perception is key! "You let people know that they can call you on it if they think there is a perception of bias or unfairness towards one side." Marybeth has been in that situation so she recused herself from a focus visit in Mountain Home. Ask the parties if there is anything that would prevent them from being neutral. It's not a bad thing to make sure. Melanie asks for disclosure of information of prior knowledge of a case. If so, she can find someone else. Training will include this. Jodi speaks on her experience of scariness of bias. As the process went on, she became more comfortable. Sarah spoke about how long it takes to reschedule if there is a conflict. What if there are problems that are affecting the child now? Melanie thinks the best option is to deal with it	
	up front. Matt explains strategies for the future of SEAP to Melanie. Marybeth tells of how much she values what Melanie brings to the table. Communications is her background. Jodi asks about Melanie's vision for training. Melanie explains 'could be' scenarios. She is open to any suggestions, not set on any one option yet. She is not	

Page **9** of **29**

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	familiar enough with the procedures yet. As long as we make	
	it about the parent, the student, and/or the District, she will	
	consider all options. She wants our department to be a leader	
	in providing communication.	
Family	Casey explains the proposed definition of family	
Engagement	engagement. We have to learn the language of each other.	
(JJCMH) –	Priorities should be the general framework of family	
Casey Moyer	engagement. To share communication is the core of what	
Dept of H&W	H&W wants. Family and participants should be at the core.	
	He discusses customer service for children's mental health	
	issues and the juvenile justice system. Principals want input	
	and feedback on outcomes. We need to bridge the systems of family control; we need to document how we are flexible to	
	encompass competencies. We would like feedback on how	
	to pin down family engagement.	
	Marybeth asks for a definition of what 'family engagement'	
	is.	
	Casey explains that families get to choose their support and	
	providers. The family is steering the ship. They are setting	
	treatment goals; we went more with goals of probation,	
	community safety, and juvenile justice goals. The family	
	should set the language of the goals so they feel more	
	involved.	
	Marybeth asks about what is involved.	
	Casey says there are different levels of involvement. It is	
	about maximizing the family feeling of being in charge.	
	Their ideas and strategies are taken into consideration; using	
	flexibility vs. steadfast rules to get the job done.	
	The monitoring outcomes are to involve the families. The	
	families help report so that they know what is working and	
	what is not. It's like consumer feedback.	
	Casey wants to know how 'partnering in funding' is decided.	
	We need to figure out who pays for which component,	
	juvenile justice, mental health, federal money, grant funding, or whether the families should pay a percentage, on a sliding	
	fee scale or a flat \$20.00 court fee.	
	ree scale of a flat \$20.00 court ree.	
	Sarah has some prescription questions. She talks about co	
	pays and double coverage. Medicaid wants to pay for all of	
	the prescriptions or none at all. She thinks it is weird that	
	there isn't a breakdown as with normal insurance.	
	Casey does not have the Medicaid answers, but tries to	
	explain a little bit about their efforts. He suggests that Sarah	
	call the Medicaid office and be specific about the issue in	
	question.	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	Casey explains other ways of funding. How they are trying to use a collaborative approach, being proactive with parents. They address all levels of involvement, the strengths, as well as the risk factors, and how to take care of them.	
	Marybeth would like to know what the general feeling of the education lens is. Are we missing anything or cutting anything short? We need more knowledge for a base and bring scenarios or models to look at, so that we can bring together the different entities. How do we make this Health &Welfare plan work or incorporate it to be proactive? What does it mean when Juvenile Justice and children's mental health work together? Marybeth wants more answers for the bottom line.	
	As a parent, Jodi brings up the question of how outcomes would be monitored. She thinks there are some good ideas, but wonders how it translates into practice Casey says that it starts at the organizational level but has to go on to find out how the individual agencies feel about it. He understands the concerns and will bring them back to his group.	
	Matt asks how measurable the outcomes will be. There are so many struggles within systems. One system waits for another to respond before they commit. Sometimes we get so preoccupied with the problem that it is hard to come up with an answer.	
	Casey points out that agency collaboration is explained in the Outcome Guidelines. He wants to build a bridge with our partners. Judy thinks that it is important to communicate the problem with clarity to the agencies. She appreciates the effort to get	
	the families more engaged. Casey says that this is just a template to start with. The goal being to bring it into the agencies and apply it. SEAP is one of the test sites for this system. Not just for juvenile justice or mental health. Are we hitting the main veins? We want to be partnered with schools and special education.	
	Matt says that it goes back to mediation with all parties to get the problems resolved. The perspective of the parties is part of if it will work or not. He states that there is a 78% compliance rate when there is a case plan in place & families are involved and only 30% when the caseworker decides the outcome.	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
-	Casey says ownership involvement is key.	_
Lunch		
General	The team discusses efforts to pool resources and combine talents. SEAP goals are discussed for the rest of the year.	
	Dennis is pleasantly surprised about the performance reviews and transitioning to higher education, but thinks we should also talk about behavioral health. Reasons for parental involvement should be discussed if there is an opportunity tomorrow or this afternoon.	
Agency Reports	Tom Falash from Adult Corrections is working on non-	
– Individual	_	
- Individual Representatives	compliance issues and better usage of our resources. He would like to get some training and put a new program together. His hope is to have an RTI program by the first of the year. We need more understanding so we fit into that block and come into compliance. This can be overwhelming at times. Things get in the way, rules of the prison; it is a unique system. We do the best we can and if we are told that we cannot do it, we have no choice. We document the reasons in case of an audit. If I ask why, they tell me it's just how it is. Matt asks where the greatest opportunity for growth or change is. Tom says the best opportunity would be to educate the warden and the security there, to get the prisoners some help	
	so that they can be a more effective part of society. Jodi asks how to get that word out. Tom says to educate the administration and be a buffer between the offender and the guard. Communication between all parties is key. Offenders want to save face so they will not voice the need for special services. He feels it is their job to convince the inmates to ask for help and accept it. They are under-staffed so it is hard to keep track of each individual inmate. They are transported somewhere else before we get a program in place. Locating records, eligibility, etc. takes time so the inmate is leery of our offer of help. They are scared or embarrassed to talk to us. We do the best we can with the facilities and staff that we have. We are trying to make a cultural change for the inmate so they do not fall into the same destructive patterns. We issue around 500 GED certificates a year. That is pretty good, a better success rate than some schools. We are working on a shoestring budget, trying to keep our programs intact. We only have 40 or 50 teachers statewide for over	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	7000 inmates.	•
	Tom invites everyone out to tour the prison. He would like to	
	do a 'focus visit' at the prison. He says to come out anytime	
	and take a tour of the schools at the prison; just call Tom.	
	The prison offers heavy equipment operator programs,	
	vocational rehabilitation technical programs, fire fighter	
	programs, construction classes. We have opened a recycling	
	center to cut down on costs.	
	We are "green"; we have put in a community garden,	
	harvesting about 90,000 lbs of food for the food bank. We do	
	many productive things for the community that are not	
	publicized.	
	We usually have zero discipline problems due to the nature	
	of our business. We work with other agencies to get services	
	to inmates.	
	Casey Moyer reports on how behavioral health services are	
	rendered. Health &Welfare is a huge agency with many	
	programs. We have a transformation work group that is	
	moving along informally, trying to wrap up in October, but	
	will ask for extension into next year. He wants more control	
	over mental health resources. Drug policy may be	
	transformed into behavioral health transformations. The	
	committee of department heads that manage the money is	
	going away. Details are slow in coming.	
	Casey is soliciting feedback in transforming the programs.	
	One change is HUBS. There are seven regions now that have	
	three HUBS. Now the regional heads report to the	
	administrator, but we are restructuring that chain of	
	command. HUB will have a little more authority to	
	implement programs, while the regional heads will help with	
	quality control.	
	Our substance abuse budget is large but the need is huge.	
	Mostly it is court ordered inmates who are receiving	
	services, but we need more substance abuse programs for the	
	public.	
	Please continue to provide input to keep us heading in the	
	right direction. You can access our website through the	
	Department of Health & Welfare. We have an intentional	
	purpose for behavioral instead of reactive direction.	
	Jacque said that Matt McCarter is on the committee. She	
	used to be frustrated with this committee because nothing	
	was ever accomplished. They would start and stop, begin	
	again and stop. She said that Matt showed her the website	
	and she says it looks like it may go somewhere. It is a bit	
	more encouraging.	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
Subject	Casey says that an outside individual, who is appointed, will offer the service. They will be pushing the department to implement the changes. The link to this website is http://www.samhsa.gov . This group is moving aggressively to transform the system. Jacque thinks that one thing SEAP could do is to have Matt put together a letter with information and links. We could provide more information on this. Casey points out that there is a PowerPoint on the website and there is an area to submit comments and suggestions. He would like to see behavioral health become stronger. Jodi wonders what prompted this group. Casey thinks it comes down to budget concerns when dealing with mental health and substance abuse issues. The outcomes are not improving; so where is our progress? This was before his time so he would like to be able to understand it better. Jacque says there were some lawsuits and other things that came up. The money to settle these lawsuits came out of one of those grants. They were told that this program should be overhauled. The outline is a hybrid, getting local decisions, taking it back so it is local; pointing out that Medicaid has a huge part in it, as well as local resources. Ross Edmunds accepted the Bureau Chief position for behavioral health; and the Children's Mental Health department is very pleased. He is very well informed from being in the trenches. He actually used to be on this committee.	Ask Matt McCarter to put together a letter with information and links about HUBS committee. We could provide more information on this.
ICDD Council Discussions – Amanda Holloway	Amanda passed the discussion over to Ron Enright, her colleague. Ron Enright – used to work for Health & Welfare. He is familiar with the process Casey described and feels that the process has come full circle. Ron says that Ross Edmunds will do well in his new position of Bureau Chief. He is on the Strategic Planning Council and wonders what is happening with mental health? Amanda says that they are in the fourth year of a five-year strategic plan. The new plan will go from 2012 to 2016. They collect information, in January they will present the information to the council, go back and draft a plan, come back to council to refine the final product. They have asked for public comment before August 15, when the new plan will go out. She asks for questions on specific issues that we can identify today as helping special ed kids. Jacque is member of the council and a representative.	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	Judy has worked in SpEd for 35 years. She says that it was	
	helpful when there was a support system in the classroom.	
	Funding is a major issue. Perception of special education	
	students is a barrier to acceptance.	
	Jacque thinks the current structure is helpful in aligning	
	students, with mental health issues, with their ability to be	
	productive. Awareness and knowledge of special education	
	is a benefit to the special education students. She is planning	
	on keeping up continuous discussion for more knowledge.	
	Federal programs are working on a universal design for	
	general education training. It is important for special	
	education teachers to realize that special education kids	
	should be involved with other kids. Training and experiences	
	are the barriers to understanding.	
	Jodi has issues relating to what the kids are getting in the	
	resource room versus the classroom. She feels that	
	competent, compassionate teachers are important. She just	
	does not have the time to express this sufficiently. How can	
	the council reduce the impact of, or eliminate these barriers?	
	Capacity builders help to do that. Casey admits he is not an educator, but thinks the approach	
	or the style of educating these kiddos is important. Don't put	
	someone in a box, he realizes that you need to for funding,	
	but don't want the kids to feel it. He wonders how to take the	
	stigma out of a diagnosis of mental issues. While you need	
	the difference for funding, put the emphasis on not being	
	label driven but promoting an integrated access model.	
	Jodi sees the need for educating the administrators about the	
	issue.	
	Dennis from Idaho Virtual Academy has the student	
	flexibility of learning style rather than structured teaching.	
	Idaho State did a stigma study and found that quite a bit of	
	stigma is still attached to developmental disabilities. (They	
	put out commercials, and then asked the public what their	
	reactions to them were.) People should write to their	
	Legislators and get their opinions out.	
	Sarah thinks to help reduce any stigma would be to help with	
	job placement. The more you see different types of people	
	out in public, the more it seems the norm.	
	Ron says that five cities give transition opportunities to	
	students to experience a job. It has been successful.	
	Judy wonders about Ron's connection to teacher preparation	
	programs. She thinks it would be good to promote that	
	connection.	
	Jacque would like to see a group appointed to identify	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	families that would be willing to have student teachers come	
	into their homes to experience their life. This gives them a	
	purposeful connection to reflect on.	
	Jodi was invited to share her experiences with student	
	teachers. To help them understand her perspective of home-	
D I	life and dealing with kids with disabilities.	
Break		
Restraint &	The first five pages of handouts provided by Matt are the	
Seclusion Rules-	proposed rules.	
Matt Hyde	Matt had talked to Marcia about Title 1 and federal mandates.	
	The group discussed Idaho's proposals starting from page B-	
	6. Matt tells us there is some movement in the Senate on	
	these proposals. He explains the process of coming up with	
	these rules, the different groups, IPULL included, that	
	helped. The 'task force' has received some negative	
	feedback, mostly related to funding.	
	PBIS training - There will be some financial ramifications but this training is imperative. This is not just about special	
	education; this relates back to general education as well.	
	Joan has drawn up a draft to help to dispel some myths about	
	the huge cost association of this proposal. Many people are	
	misreading things, interpretations are not accurate. Calls	
	from the Idaho Association of School Administrators, State	
	School Board Association would help to open dialog	
	regarding restraints, then we could have discussions in the	
	schools.	
	There are great concerns of the financial aspect but there is	
	some support as well. This is a critical time to be discussing	
	and seriously considering this. The public can make	
	comments on our websiteMatt encourages discussion.	
	Matt talks about how important the safety of the environment of our students is.	
	The federal proposal versus our state proposal.	
	Sarah used to get email from an advocacy group asking	
	parents to talk to legislators urging them not to pass the	
	federal plan. She looked it up and she is appalled and wants	
	Matt to know this is out there. It states, "That this cannot be	
	written in an IEP." Those words are not included in the	
	Idaho draft.	
	Jacque said that the thinking behind that wording was that	
	they were trying to broaden it beyond just special education	
	students. That is the most discussed issue in the proposal.	
	That is why we chose not to include it in the Idaho plan.	
	Matt thinks that it seems like a way around not being just for	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
V	special ed students. General education parents are less aware	•
	of these things. That some things can be used against their	
	child, however federal rules will trump state rules.	
	Judy asks if we're talking about being trained once or	
	becoming 'certified', because she thinks being trained once	
	isn't enough.	
	Matt admits there are some slightly vague areas. Do we need	
	to address every single scenario? For instance, would you cut	
	off power to an electric scooter if the student were running	
	into others as referenced on page B-6.? Or if a trained person	
	is not available, in an emergency, can someone else take care	
	of an issue, for instance if a child is running into the road,	
	you cannot wait to find a trained person; you need to get that	
	child safe immediately. You will find this referenced on page	
	B-7.	
	Jacque says that there will be some revisions to this to make	
	it clearer.	
	Jodi asked if the panel could comment as a group.	
	Jacque said no, because the panel is not a lobbying group. She said that Jodi could comment as an individual. She	
	thinks that a definition of what is appropriate commentary as	
	a panel should be spelled out more clearly in the manual.	
	Jodi asked if legislation pieces are the only parts they cannot	
	comment on as a panel.	
	Jacque believes that is correct.	
	Casey said in Health &Welfare, they can express concern on	
	behalf of their constituents, but cannot offer a remedy. It's in	
	an advisory role, rather than in an advocacy role.	
	Sarah asks if handcuffs are going to be allowed in Idaho	
	schools as referenced on page B-4. Resource officers have to	
	be a law enforcement officer, lay people cannot. Resource	
	officers are not District employees, they are usually from the	
	Sheriff's office.	
	Jacque believes it is important that the positive behavior	
	support piece be actively advocated.	
	Judy says it would be a good thing if teachers were identified	
	as needing more training.	
SLD	Jacque would like to go over the SLD implementations at	
Implementation	tomorrow's session.	
Jacque Hyatt		
Adjourn		

SEAP Meeting J.R. Williams Building - Boise September 22, 2010

Minutes

Panel	All Panel Meeting	Date September 22, 2010	
Chair	Jodi Schilling	Recorder	Kim Reader

Vo	Voting Members – (present at meeting X, absent at meeting left blank)							
X	X Bruce Christopherson X Casey Moyer X Glenda Rohrbach X Dennis Toney						Dennis Toney	
X	Tom Falash	X	Sarah Noble	X	Jodi Schilling			
X	X Amanda Holloway X Judy Randleman Karen Seay							

1	Non-Voting Members – (present at meeting X, absent at meeting left blank) Guests – (presenter at meeting P)						
X Jacque Hyatt X Matt Hyde X Kim Reader P Jean Taylor						Jean Taylor	
I	Shannon Dunstan	X	Marybeth Flachbart	P	Janice Carson		

Discussion	Follow-up					
Jodi welcomes everyone back.	Work getting					
She would like to set a purpose, make a link of what SEAP	the word out					
does with the broad picture, and make sure that we take	to parents to					
action, not just talk about it. She shares workbook binders	become more					
with members.	involved. Get critical					
One major responsibility is to submit a report by July 1 on	information					
	on the					
	webpage.					
	Need to keep					
there is more organization with what we accomplish.						
Dennis wondered about the change in the number of times						
that the panel meets per year. We met at least four times this year instead of two or three.	awareness, and					
Jodi talked about doing an online meeting instead of a physical meeting.	membership					
What is our role as an advisor versus an advocate? She wants						
She would like to see clarification of reports, ways of getting						
v						
	Jodi welcomes everyone back. She would like to set a purpose, make a link of what SEAP does with the broad picture, and make sure that we take action, not just talk about it. She shares workbook binders with members. One major responsibility is to submit a report by July 1 on what we have accomplished during the year. We are supposed to be advising the Department of Education of that. We are going to change the structure of the meetings a little, so that there is more organization with what we accomplish. Dennis wondered about the change in the number of times that the panel meets per year. We met at least four times this year instead of two or three. Jodi talked about doing an online meeting instead of a physical meeting. What is our role as an advisor versus an advocate? She wants to show what our responsibilities are as a panel.					

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
Layout of 2010 -2011 Panel – Jodi Schilling	seem interested. More discussion on what we advise on, (unmet needs, coordination of services). Tom says the manual is a good operating plan. There are panel positions that need to be filled, but the word needs to get outmaybe in the newsletter or by personal invitation. Judy would like to see broader representation from around the state. Sarah would like to see some people with disabilities on the panel so we can get their input. Jodi shows a 'You tube' video called "Raising Small Souls" which is an inspiring perspective on education. www.raisingsmallsouls.com. It shows the overall goal as education all the way from the cradle to a career. We need clarification on how we should make our comments public about rule changes and proposed rule changes. Sarah asks if we should put a link on the website about the ability to read about, and comment on, these issues. Matt says there is an opportunity to put the word out through Superintendent Luna, the panel, and the school board Jodi talks about all that we accomplished yesterday. Tom talks about data collection. 1.) Dispute Resolution Report – annual 2.) Statewide System of Support- jawbreaker – core of school improvement 3.) School Improvement - Coordination of Title 1 and IDEA, IEP and Migrant - annual 160 School Safety Rule - Proposal on Restraint & Seclusion – this is on the 'watch list' - we cannot comment on it yet. We have to wait until it is in its final form. Matt says they are interested to see what kind of feedback comes back from the public on this proposal. He thinks we may have an opportunity to make recommendations on it. Casey tells of the difference between advocacy and recommendations, how to voice our concerns. The message can be the same; we just need to phrase it differently. After his experience with the Council for Developmental Disabilities giving input to their 5-year plan, Casey thinks we should rephrase it to 'focus group participation' to be more successful. We will address more of our advising role in the December mee	Follow-up

Page **19** of **29**

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	special education. Members gave Casey input on JJCMH family engagement definition.	
Purpose and use of Workgroup – Jodi Schilling	Jodi thinks we should divide-up the needs that we identified yesterday, and work on those in groups. Judy had question on exactly what we will work on. Tom explained the major issues.	
	Tom and Judy – Membership recruitment - It is good to have a mix of old and new members. It makes a better panel.	
	Matt, Bruce, and Jodi - Update website - They will look at what was on the website in past and decide what needs to be changed. Keeping agendas and minutes updated for SEAP meetings should be important! Maybe we should have a conference call before our actual meetings to discuss previous minutes and new agenda. Casey says that the meetings are supposed to be held around the state for access availability, he would like to see that happen.	
	Sarah, Jodi, and Dennis – Public awareness – They want to know what our source of information will be for the website. Jodi brings up housekeeping issues for the website. They point out that there needs to be an update to the contact information for the panel. Information should be current. Casey thinks we should have copies by the end of the day. They discuss other website updates.	Update contact information for panel members.
	Matt is going to draft a letter to the school board regarding restraint and seclusion by end of October. Casey thinks it should go through technical advising and AG's office to make sure it the wording is correct and that it aligns with the law, etc. Matt will do that. He says that funding is the biggest concern on restraint and seclusion rules. Casey asks if there is a fiscal impact statement published. Matt said that there was not and explained why. We will discuss the restraint and seclusion issue more thoroughly later.	
Break		
Restraint and Seclusion discussion	Sarah thinks we should decide on what we are going to say publicly now. Say something like "This is where we stand". Jodi opens discussion. Where do we stand on this issue? Sarah wants to appoint Casey as the one to write the letter. Matt heads up the discussion on Restraint and Seclusion. He suggests that we go through each item systematically	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	and discuss it.	
	People take it as any restraint and seclusion being prohibited,	
	except what is listed-It was clear the legislature did not read	
	the proposal correctly. We need to clarify the definitions of	
	restraint and seclusion.	
	Dennis comments on thinking that if someone is going to do	
	something to you, you have the right to defend yourself.	
	However, it needs to be age appropriate; rather than lumping	
	action against a second grader in the same group as a high school child.	
	Matt says that that opens an entirely new 'can of worms'.	
	Some young children do have the ability to hurt an adult. Dennis talks of tazing a 7 year old.	
	Matt says that becomes a law enforcement issue.	
	Sarah says that comes under training; she said her training	
	focused on 'no touching at all'.	
	Judy talks of more aggression in schools now.	
	Casey thinks there should be more bridging on definitions and	
	the specific aspects of funding and federal ideas of restraint.	
	There is opportunity to align with federal parity and fiscal	
	issues. This needs to be resolved. The idea is promising but	
	needs to be more specific.	
	Judy wonders about private schools; are they excluded from	
	funding, what about public school kids placed in another	
	agency? If the school district is paying, then the private	
	agency is a contractor. If Health &Welfare is paying, then the	
	private agency is considered a private school. It all comes	
	down to who is paying. Judy doesn't approve of restraints but	
	is worried about dangerous students. Intervention should be in	
	place with uncontrollable students.	
	Casey asks when and how often to use intervention. He is	
	concerned that clinical settings are not covered in this	
	proposal. Everything really ties to funding and he wonders	
	how that will apply to this law. (He wonders about adding a	
	clause stating that clinical inpatient treatment could be	
	exempt.)	
	He says the wording of the proposal needs to be looked at,	
	public agencies versus private entities, residential treatment,	
	or public school. Will this only apply to students in the educational setting? Who exactly is exempt?	
	Matt agrees. How does this law affect clinical settings?	
	wiatt agrees. How does this law affect chilical settings?	
	Dennis asks about adding a property damage clause.	
	Sarah comments on the property damage aspect that she	
	learned from her training. If it is not an emergency or bodily	

Page **21** of **29**

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	harm, ('computers can be replaced'), you should back off and let them vent. If no one is getting hurt, then you should leave him or her alone, hands off. You should evacuate the other students and observe from outside the classroom. The thinking is that property is not as important as public safety. Matt asks if there is anything else of concern. Tom says to try to push the proposal through.	
	Casey says that federal law is going to trump state law anyway.	
Select Workgroups – Workgroup	Tom, Judy – Membership recruitment Matt, Bruce, Jodi Update website Sarah, Jodi, Dennis – Public awareness Amanda will join membership recruitment	
Medicaid Redesign/Rules -Shannon Dunstan	Matt introduces Shannon Dunstan. Shannon asks questions of each member to find out about them and tells of her experience and role at SDE. She explains what she is working on, and what her vision for her position is. She is trying to create a seamless process for toddlers to transition into continuing their education and receive services. She is hoping to revise the SPED manual to include early childhood standards, what is best practice? Medicaid changes are currently in the comment period that ends today. How any changes will affect the schools, how they are utilizing the rules. Developmental therapy will not be available in the new plan, but speech pathology, occupational therapy, and physical therapy will be. They need to educate themselves on the rules. These include a 'no paraprofessional' clause; any therapy needs to be done by a licensed therapist. The next step will be to go to legislature for adaptation. Casey asks if there is a temporary rule in place. Shannon said no. She encourages schools to be informed on how these rules will affect their school. She explains the reason for the proposed changes Medicaid is always evolving, trying to meet the needs of everyone. Parents want to be more involved; respite is huge for parents, they have very little support as it is now. They need workgroups, rehabilitative services, family support, and collaborative services. Funds are aligned to prohibit state money from being used to offer this array of services without a waiver. We want more flexibility in using state money to provide for the needs of parents and their children. We want a	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	state plan for monies to be matched federally. We want to	
	keep that match under the waiver amount. This is very	
	complex and changing this rule is not easy. Meeting people's	
	needs are so individualistic, but everyone wants to use the	
	money. We want to meet the needs of parents that are	
	struggling. She is not sure that the redesign really looks at	
	how schools are using the money.	
	Shannon is not making a value judgment; she just wants	
	people informed so they can make good decisions.	
	Changing how to access this money based on eligibility	
	requirements. Shannon explains that by 2012, the phasing out of agency	
	access to all developmental therapy, as we traditionally know	
	it, will make access completely different! Traditionally, the	
	use of developmental therapies is by qualifying for that	
	service, inside or outside, and is to work on learning	
	behaviors, mobility, etc.	
	Seven skill sets should be included. Ambulation issues,	
	communication, behavior issues are just a few. We need to	
	teach others how to fix these skills. We would not be able to	
	use teachers and bill Medicaid; we have to have a licensed	
	therapist to meet these needs instead of creating programs for	
	each child in the school. There will be a change in billing	
	based on medical structure. Currently, developmental therapy	
	has to tie to a goal.	
	Judy wants to know if whoever came up with list was aware	
	of what they were giving up.	
	That is why Shannon is stressing how important it is to	
	become educated. Some people understand the impact this	
	will have on education and some do not. That is why they	
	make a public comment section available.	
	Cogay asks about the IDI impact: will it be transported to	
	Casey asks about the IBI impact; will it be transportable across state lines, it currently is not. He would like to see the	
	redesign allow for training that is transportable. Personally,	
	Casey thinks it is a good move to legitimize it and make it	
	possible to get into the classes needed. Putting the training on	
	a university level makes it more possible to become core	
	certified. PSR and developmental therapy come out of two	
	different pots of money because they are different.	
	Jodi explains a typical day for her child, and she feels they do	
	not meet her needs. She has been on a wait list for IBI for 2	
	years, but providers are hard to come by. After school, they	
	transport her child to a center for her developmental therapy.	
	They have a high turnover; the treatment is not reliable. She	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	just gets used to one person, and they change. She also has a	
	problem accessing respite care.	
	Shannon tells her that it all depends on where you live in the	
	state, for many different reasons, there are three different	
	plans.	
	Casey says we need IBI and respite but the criterion has to be	
	more flexible. We are not meeting student's needs. IBI	
	requirements will be going to associates degree level. The	
	scarcity of resources drives a lot of things and they have to	
	keep it scarce.	
	Dennis asks what is going to happen when Obama care goes	
	into effect.	
	Shannon does not know and doesn't want to go there right	
	now. She is very knowledgeable on Medicare but has no idea	
	how the new program will effect it.	
	Casey brings up that SAMSA is holding public webinars on	
	drug policy and mental health. Health care reform is a scary term.	
	Jodi asks about public comment ending today. Was there an	
	effort to get that information out so that people had time to	
	post comments?	
	Shannon says that the day she found out, she sent the rules	
	and regulations to every director in the state and sent out	
	public notice on hearing. She did what she could on her end to	
	get the word out; she does not know what the schools did.	
	Sarah got some letters from Medicaid but nothing about this.	
	Jodi has several resources that she should have heard from	
	and she never heard about this.	
	Shannon wonders if Sarah could be confusing MOLINA with	
	the new rules? Suggests she go to the Health &Welfare	
	website; children's services will give her all the rules and	
	regulations or she can contact the legislature, read the public	
	comments. Shannon personally thinks there are some good	
	things, but it will be vastly different, and some people won't	
	understand it.	
	Sarah asks about who is covered under respite care.	
	Shannon explains that respite care is modeled after self-	
	directed waiver; it is your responsibility to check if the person	
	has a driver's license and if there needs to be a background check.	
	Casey asks if there will be some guidance on resources to do	
	background checks, etc.	
	There is no plan in place now to help with this. They do have	
	a registry and training at Health &Welfare. Shannon says that	
	a 125.501 and training at 1100th with origin. Silumon says that	

Page **24** of **29**

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	the department puts things in place and implementation	
	comes much later.	
	Sarah sees so much wasting of funds. Sees some good being done, but some ideas that are not put to good use.	
	Judy sees respite options as a good thing if you are a	
	conscientious consumer, but there are too many that take	
	advantage of the system.	
	Shannon sees some people that use it the way it is intended,	
	but also sees some that are in such crisis, they turn the care	
	over to anyone that will 'get the job done'. She would like to	
	see resource fairs or transition fairs on services available, so families don't have to be in crisis before getting services.	
	Matt's goal for this section of the meeting was to have people	
	ask questions about this program, get the word out and it has	
	done that. He encourages people to use what they heard to dig	
	deeper into this.	
	Shannon reminds us that this is not into law yet, so it is good	
	to have this opportunity to watch the Legislature to see what	
	comes out of Health &Welfare	
	Matt acknowledges that Shannon has brought with her a	
	wealth of information and experience and we are lucky to	
	have her.	
	Dennis is glad that she would admit when she didn't know an	
	answer to something because it is not better to be fed half fiction and half facts.	
	Shannon believes that knowledge is power, so she encourages	
	digging for information.	
	Glenda asks who can address advocacy services for students.	
	Shannon said that since this is federally funded, there is a	
	priority list, but anyone can make a referral. They will then see if it's in their grant service, tell you yes or no and direct	
	you where to go.	
	Glenda says that everyone she talks to passes her off to	
	someone else. Everyone turned her down for her referral for	
	something she thought was very wrong.	
	Shannon tells her that there are attorneys to address your	
Lunch	issues if advocacy groups are not meeting your needs.	
Workgroups	Amanda joins us.	
Report out		
	Jodi -We will just break into our groups and work on our	
	goals; see what we need to do and set a timeline.	
	Matt suggests we loosely set out and identify our weaknesses,	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	what we need to do, set a timeline and report back in our	
	December meeting. We will see where we stand then.	
	There is some concern because this is the smallest number of	
	members in attendance.	
	Matt will identify the roles and see where we are missing out	
	on accomplishing our goals. Some goals are mandated by	
	IDEA and some just need clarification on guidelines to use.	
	He says that 51% attendance of the panel is required to make	
	decisions. There is some concern that we are out of	
	compliance.	
	Matt asks Casey if he would like to summarize the	
	recommendations for the letter to the State Board on the	
	restrains and seclusions that bullet point our concerns. He will	
	take the letter back to the group that put this together. We	
	have some valid points; he will ask them to give us some	
	guidance on how to word our recommendations.	
	Amanda will join membership committee since it only has	
	two members.	
	Groups broke out to discuss plans and ideas. They are making	
	progress on ideas and setting timelines.	
	Jodi's group is going to make a Facebook page by December.	
	They will have a window to the SpEd system in Idaho that will be our message to public awareness. They will draft a list	
	of contacts and agencies to contact.	
	Tom asks about putting something on the back of the 40 page	
	letter. Sarah will add that to her list.	
	Matt's group wonders who we are targeting in terms of the	
	web page. They think that the website is not very useful to the general public. Our target should be the Stakeholders. The	
	current website has outdated information and they want to	
	make it more user-friendly. There should be links for parents,	
	educators, administrators, and agencies; it should indicate	
	changes in Medicaid issues, post when the newsletter goes out	
	and list more useful information. There are documents that	
	should be made available.	
	Tom's group has identified about seven more people to	
	recruit. They will figure out how to network so that the	
	stakeholders will be more spread out. There should be more	
	agencies added and represented; reflective of the secondary	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	transitional team that they have.	
	Jodi brings up training seminars. A panel member has to attend at least two meetings before they can go. One would have to apply to go to the Colorado meeting. All but two members on the committee are from southwest Idaho. Judy thinks it is a reasonable expense to have members reimbursed for meetings only for lost wages. Sarah would have a problem getting reimbursement since she is technically a teacher in Washington. Amanda says there is something in place for that scenario. Matt will have to do some digging to find that statute. Judy says that information should be available before contacting new potential members for the panel.	
	Casey is working hard on the wording for the letter to the Board.	
Annual Performance Plan and SEAP – Jean Taylor	Jean Taylor explains that the State Performance Plan is outlined in IDEA. We are in the last year of a six-year plan. The purpose of a performance plan is to improve results in education. She shows a PowerPoint where indicators are outlined; she explains what each one means. Disproportionality, FAPE in LRE, effective supervision, early childhood results, and outcomes for students with disabilities, Some services that are offered but parents may not use, overor under-representation of any ethnic group, inappropriate reasons to place child in special education, language barriers, types of disabilities, compliance and performance indicators. We focus more on indicators 11, through 15, making sure all pieces are in place for success. The last four indicators refer only to Idaho. Dispute resolution data, mediations data, timely and accurate data; it all trickles down from the Districts. Jean shows results from the report, graduates, dropouts, percentages. Sarah asks if it takes into account the age of the student. We were down this year from last year and we have to explain and give a plan to improve. Feds wanted something. Jean told them we didn't have it, they proved we did, This is a fairly new process, our IT dept had a huge turnover and we had a problem with how data went in and was reported. Numbers didn't match, columns off we are working hard to correct the process and the problems with the data. Improvement activities. Have to report on what we have done and the status of the activities. If you can think of anything	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	that can help improve test scores, we can do differently to	
	improve, we will consider it when we write these indicators.	
	If you have an interest, we would like to hear any ideas. Not	
	much to help that is specific to spec ed, need improvement	
	plans focused on research based strategies to integrate spec	
	ed. Dropout rate, graduation rates, and improvement progress.	
	Our data may not be accurate. We will feel more confident in	
	the coming years. Adding new activities that we think will	
	make a difference and why. Our goal is 98% participation for	
	ISAT and ISAT ALT testing. Some kids are just not capable;	
	some are significantly impaired so are unable to take test.	
	Reading scores improve as kid gets older, math goes down in	
	spec ed kids. This is for continuously enrolled students, not	
	available quite yet.	
	Suspensions and expulsions rate is very low compared to	
	other states. Disporprotionality in this is tracked as well.	
	Least restrictive environment for spec ed kids so that they are	
	able to be in with other kids their age.	
	Most restrictive environments, hospitals, homebound,	
	separate schools, residential facilities Improve faster than	
	other ages. Social, language, literacy skills, behaviors.	
	Parent involvement is something we want to include. Matt	
	will be writing this indicator. Our baseline is low because	
	they set the bar really high with the reasoning that it will be	
	easier to show improvement. Our pilot online survey didn't	
	work very well; we need to do some work on that.	
	We expect the percentages of total enrollment to be	
	comparable to overall enrollment of races. Problem with over-	
	representation in 4 districts. Look at policies, practices, and	
	procedures and notify them to put a plan in place to correct.	
	98% on timeliness after evaluations; have to have an IEP put	
	in place within 60 days.	
	Early childhood outcomes 98% compliance.	
	Problem with some families moving and not notifying schools	
	so that they can be counted for measurements.	
	Goals are measured by transition assessments, appropriate	
	assessments. Transition services need to be in place to help	
	them succeed. Evidence of invitation to be part of transition	
	meeting, outside agencies (voc ad), parents. It's their plan for success. Feds give determination, we have to give districts. If	
	we don't meet requirements, we	
	Correction of noncompliance – we need to send notice and	
	they have 365 days to correct or sanctions kick in. We have a system in place to track this.	
	Our dispute resolution system is strong. Timely and accurate	
	Our dispute resolution system is strong. Timely and decurate	

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	reports for the feds. If there are significant changes in	
	numbers, we have to explain. Definitions of disability change,	
	etc.	
Break		
General	Janice is going to talk about compliance indicators.	
Supervision	When the kids from infant program go on to the next level,	
Compliance – Janice Carson	they need to have an IEP in place, and then they have to have a new IEP in place before high school.	
dunice Curson	Indicator 15 is Janice's 'baby'. Indicators 12, 13, and 14,	
	trickle down to 15. If there is non-compliance issue, each	
	child has to be taken care of.	
	We go in a five-year cycle. There are certain activities that the	
	districts have to complete each year. The information	
	explaining this is on the ITC website. There are questions	
	asked each year from each district, but file reviews are treated	
	differently.	
	As for files reviews: year one is self assessment monitoring,	
	we look at 10% of their files (SAM) making sure they are	
	doing their files correctly. This is a general review for all	
	students, and then we do a specialized one depending on age.	
	For child count verification at years 2, 3 & 4, we only ask for	
	1% of their files.	
	We have a checklist of improvement questions. Each district enters the information for each student.	
	There are progress reports, so that they know where the	
	teachers need to improve. Janice sends notices on areas that	
	need improvement.	
	We monitor and make sure the files are reported correctly for	
	federal data collection. Compliance issues are critical for	
	federal funding. The process is in place, it is working in	
	Idaho. We are seeing major improvement in the blueprint of	
	monitoring.	
	A critical component of monitoring is the performance piece, which Jacque will now talk about.	
Performance -	Statewide System of Support is targeting the performance of	
Jacque Hyatt	children with disabilities. When we make recommendations,	
	we are not looking at the compliance pieces we look at overall	
	performance. We look at effective practices, at how districts	
	are responding to our monitoring, if intervention is working	
	or not. Sometimes kids are going into a resource room but are	
	only getting tutoring, not special education services.	
	Compliance paperwork is important but we need to make sure	
	that the students are receiving services. We need to get our	
	voices together for compliance issues.	
	Everything is located on ITC website. There are forms,	

Page **29** of **29**

Subject	Discussion	Follow-up
	calendars, etc.	
	Each school uses new criteria for review training. We will work with a group of peer reviewers, (nominated by special ed directors), to improve their skills. Test scores are all over the board. People may not have the link with what is going on in the special ed classroom, as well as the general ed classroom. They may not understand how we are looking at the results, how the psychological process works, how to serve kids, how the transitional process works. We want them connected at the core of the grade level that they are supposed to be. We are moving forward, w will create changes across the board, not just for special ed kids. Jodi thinks they have a good handle on the SLD. Sarah wonders if we look at same file if you find noncompliance. Jacque says that we do. Sarah asks how the files are chosen.	
	Jacque tells her that we have a purpose on how we choose; a	
	girl and a boy, different ages, different disabilities. Jodi would like to try to send out the agenda for our meetings	
	a week ahead of time.	
Next year's	NEXT MEETING	
meeting dates	Feb. 16 & 17	
	April 13 & 14, 2011	
Adjourn 3:30		